





UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                            | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR         | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO. |  |
|--|-------------|------------------------------|-------------------------|------------------|--|
| 09/837,951                                 | 04/19/2001  | Antonio Jose de Araujo Porto |                         | 1254             |  |
| 7590 11/18/2003                            |             |                              | EXAM                    | EXAMINER         |  |
| Dr. Max Fogiel                             |             |                              | NGUYEN, TRINH T         |                  |  |
| 61 Ethel Road West<br>Piscataway, NJ 08854 |             |                              | ART UNIT                | PAPER NUMBER     |  |
|  |             |                              | 3644                    | <del>-</del>     |  |
|  |             |                              | DATE MAILED: 11/18/2003 |                  |  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|   | Application No.   | Applicant(s)                            |
|---|---|---|
|   | 09/837,951  | PORTO ET AL.                            |
| Notice of Abandonment   | Examiner  | Art Unit                                |
|   | Trinh T Nguyen  | 3644                                    |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app  | <del></del>   |   |
| This application is abandoned in view of:   |   | •                                       |
| Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office     (a) ☐ A reply was received on (with a Certificate of N     period for reply (including a total extension of time of | Mailing or Transmission dated<br>month(s)) which expired on | <u> </u>                                |
| (b) ☐ A proposed reply was received on, but it does   | , , , , ,   |   |
| (A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 to 20 cm.          | d Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); o                     |   |
| (c) A reply was received on but it does not constitution final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See  |   | mpt at a proper reply, to the non-      |
| (d) ☑ No reply has been received.   |   |   |
| 2. Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-8  |   | the statutory period of three months    |
| (a) ☐ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was<br>), which is after the expiration of the statutory position.<br>Allowance (PTOL-85).                                      |   |   |
| (b) ☐ The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance  | e of \$ is due.   |   |
| The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$   | The publication fee, if required by 37                      | CFR 1.18(d), is \$                      |
| (c) $\square$ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has no  | ot been received.   |   |
| 3. Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as requal Allowability (PTO-37).   | uired by, and within the three-month p                      | period set in, the Notice of            |
| <ul> <li>(a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on</li> <li>after the expiration of the period for reply.</li> </ul>   | _ (with a Certificate of Mailing or Tran                    | smission dated), which is               |
| (b) ☐ No corrected drawings have been received.   |   |   |
| <ol> <li>The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the<br/>the applicants.</li> </ol>  | e attorney or agent of record, the assi                     | ignee of the entire interest, or all of |
| 5. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application.  | n attorney or agent (acting in a repres                     | entative capacity under 37 CFR          |
| 6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interfer of the decision has expired and there are no allowed clair  |   | e the period for seeking court review   |
| 7. The reason(s) below:   | CHARLES T. JOF<br>SUPERVISORY PATENT<br>TECHNOLOGY CENT     | OZDAN<br>BOAN<br>EXAMINER<br>ER 3600    |
| Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdra  | aw the holding of abandonment under 37 (                    | CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to  |